

# DANIELL AND RIEMANN INTEGRABILITY

ILEANA BUCUR

We introduce the notion of Riemann integrable function with respect to a Daniell integral and prove the approximation theorem of such functions by a monotone sequence of Jordan simple functions. This is a generalization of the famous Lebesgue criterion of Riemann integrability.

*AMS 2000 Subject Classification:* 46A40, 46A55.

*Key words:* Cauchy-Daniell integral, Riemann integrability.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We consider a linear vector space  $C$  of real bounded functions over an arbitrary set  $X$ ,  $X \neq \emptyset$ , such that the constant real functions on  $X$  belong to  $C$  and for any  $f, g \in C$  the function  $f \vee g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $(f \vee g)(x) = \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$  also belongs to  $C$ .

Obviously, for any  $f, g \in C$  the function  $f \wedge g$  (respectively  $|f|$ ) defined as

$$(f \wedge g)(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\} \quad (\text{resp. } |f|(x) = |f(x)|)$$

belongs to  $C$  and we have  $f \wedge g + f \vee g = f + g$ ,  $|f| = (-f) \vee f$ .

*Definition 1.* A real linear map  $I : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *Daniell integral* (or a *Cauchy-Daniell integral*) if it is increasing and monotone sequentially continuous, i.e.,

- (a)  $I(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha I(f) + \beta I(g) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \forall f, g \in C$ ;
- (b)  $f, g \in C, f \leq g \Rightarrow I(f) \leq I(g)$ ;
- (c) for any decreasing sequence  $(f_n)_n$  of  $C$  such that  $\inf \{f_n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0$  for any  $x \in X$  we have  $\inf_n I(f_n) = 0$ .

As is well known, there exists a real positive measure  $\mu$  on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(C)$ , generated by  $C$  (the coarsest  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets on  $X$  for which with respect to which any function  $f \in C$  is a measurable real function on  $X$ ), such that we have

$$I(f) = \int f d\mu \quad \forall f \in C.$$

There are several steps of extension of the given functional  $I$  such that, finally, any characteristic function  $1_A$  with  $A \in \mathcal{B}(C)$ , belongs to the domain of the extension of  $I$ .

We recall briefly this procedure. First, we denote by  $C_i$  (respectively,  $C_s$ ), the set of all functions  $\varphi : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  (respectively,  $\Psi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ ), for which there exists an increasing sequence  $(f_n)_n$  (respectively decreasing sequence  $(g_n)_n$ ) in  $C$ , such that  $\varphi = \sup_n f_n =: \vee_n f_n$  ( $\Psi = \inf_n g_n =: \wedge_n g_n$ ).

Using the property of a Daniell integral one can show that the element  $I(\varphi)$  (respectively,  $I(\Psi)$  of  $(-\infty, \infty]$  (respectively,  $[-\infty, \infty)$ ) defined as  $I(\varphi) = \sup_n I(f_n)$  ( $I(\Psi) = \inf_n I(g_n)$ ) does not depend on the sequence  $(f_n)_n$  (respectively,  $(g_n)_n$ ) which increases to  $\varphi$  (respectively, decreases to  $\Psi$ ).

We notice the following facts:

(1)  $C_i$  and  $C_s$  are convex cones, i.e., for any  $f, g$  in  $C_i$  (respectively,  $C_s$ ) and for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ , we have  $\alpha f + \beta g \in C_i$  (respectively,  $C_s$ ).

(2) for any  $f, g$  in  $C_i$  (respectively,  $C_s$ ) the functions  $f \vee g, f \wedge g$  belong to  $C_i$  (respectively,  $C_s$ ). Moreover, for any increasing sequence of  $C_i$ , its pointwise supremum belongs to  $C_i$  while the pointwise infimum of a decreasing sequence of  $C_s$  belongs to  $C_s$ . In fact, we have  $C_s = -C_i$ , i.e.,  $C_s = \{-\varphi \mid \varphi \in C_i\}$  or  $C_i = \{-\Psi \mid \Psi \in C_s\}$ .

(3)  $I(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha I(f) + \beta I(g) \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$  and  $f, g \in C_i$  (respectively,  $f, g \in C_s$ ).

(4)  $I(f) \leq I(g)$  if  $f \leq g$  and  $f, g \in C_i$  (respectively,  $f, g \in C_s$ ).

(5)  $\sup_n I(f_n) = I(\sup_n f_n)$  for any increasing sequence  $(f_n)_n$  of  $C_i$  and  $\inf_n I(f_n) = I(\inf_n f_n)$  for any decreasing sequence  $(f_n)_n$  of  $C_s$ .

(6)  $I(-f) = -I(f) \forall f \in C_i$  or  $f \in C_s$ .

For any function  $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , we denote by  $I^*(h)$  (respectively,  $I_*(h)$ ) the element of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  defined as  $I^*(h) = \inf \{I(f) \mid f \in C_i, f \geq h\}$  (respectively,  $I_*(h) = \sup \{I(g) \mid g \in C_s, g \leq h\}$ ). The assertion  $I_*(h) \leq I^*(h)$  does always hold.

These new extensions of  $I$  have the properties below:

(7)  $I^*$  and  $I_*$  are increasing, i.e.,  $I^*(f) \leq I^*(g)$ , respectively,  $I_*(f) \leq I_*(g)$  whenever  $f \leq g$ ;

(8)  $I^*(h + g) \leq I^*(h) + I^*(g)$ ,  $I_*(h + g) \geq I_*(h) + I_*(g)$  whenever the algebraic operations make sense;

(9)  $\sup_n I^*(f_n) = I^*(\sup_n f_n)$  for any increasing sequence  $(f_n)_n$  for which  $I^*(f_1) > -\infty$ ;

(10)  $\inf_n I_*(f_n) = I_*(\inf_n f_n)$  for any decreasing sequence  $(f_n)_n$  for which  $I_*(f_1) < \infty$ .

It can be shown that the set  $L_1(I)$  defined as

$$L_1(I) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid I_*(f) = I^*(f) \neq \pm\infty\}$$

is a real linear vector space of functions on  $X$ , such that

(11)  $C \subset L_1(I)$ ,  $f \vee g$ ,  $f \wedge g$  belong to  $L_1(I)$  if  $f, g \in L_1(I)$ ;

(12) for any sequence  $(f_n)_n$  of  $L_1(I)$ , dominated in  $L_1(I)$ , i.e.,  $|f_n| \leq g$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for some function  $g \in L_1(I)$ , we have  $\sup_n f_n \in L_1(I)$ ,  $\inf_n f_n \in L_1(I)$ .

Moreover, if the above sequence is pointwise convergent to a function  $f$ , then we have  $f \in L_1(I)$  and  $I^*(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I^*(f_n)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I^*(|f - f_n|) = 0$ .

If we denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of all subsets  $A$  of  $X$  such that the characteristic function  $1_A$  of  $A$  belongs to  $L_1(I)$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ , the map  $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined as  $\mu(A) = I^*(1_A) = I_*(1_A)$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ , any element  $f \in L_1(I)$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable and  $\mu$ -integrable. Moreover, we have  $I^*(f) = \int f d\mu$  and, in particular, the above equality holds for any  $f \in C$ .

The elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  are generally called *Lebesgue measurable* (w.r. to  $I$ ) (or *Daniell-measurable* w.r. to  $I$ ).

## 2. RIEMANN INTEGRABILITY AND RIEMANN MEASURABILITY

In the sequel we develop a theory close to the Riemann theory of integration on the real line with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The starting point is a pointwise vector lattice of real functions  $C$  on a set  $X$  which contains the real constant functions, and a Daniell integral  $I : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

*Definition 2.1.* A real bounded function  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *Riemann integrable with respect to  $I$*  or, simply, *Riemann integrable* if we have

$$\sup\{I(f') \mid f' \in C, f' \leq f\} = \inf\{I(f'') \mid f'' \in C, f \leq f''\}.$$

We shall denote by  $R_1(I)$  the set of all Riemann integrable functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For any  $f \in R_1(I)$ , denote

$$I(f) = \sup\{I(f') \mid f' \in C, f' \leq f\} = \inf\{I(f'') \mid f'' \in C, f \leq f''\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.2** (Darboux criterion). *A function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Riemann integrable iff for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $f', f''$  in  $C$  (or  $f', f'' \in R_1(I)$ ) such that  $f' \leq f \leq f''$  and  $I(f'') - I(f') < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows directly from the above definition.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** a) *The set  $R_1(I)$  is a pointwise vector lattice such that  $C \subset R_1(I) \subset L_1(I)$  and for any sequence  $(h_n)_n$  from  $R_1(I)$  which decreases to zero, i.e.,  $\bigwedge_n h_n = 0$ , the sequence  $(I(h_n))_n$  decreases to zero.*

b) If  $(h_n)_n$  is a sequence from  $R_1(I)$ , uniformly convergent to a function  $h$ , we have  $h \in R_1(I)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(h_n) = I(h)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(|h_n - h|) = 0$ .

*Proof.* a) The inclusions  $C \subset R_1(I) \subset L_1(I)$  follow from the definitions, on account of the fact that  $C \subset C_i$ ,  $C \subset C_s$ .

Let  $h$  be an element of  $R_1(I)$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $f', f'' \in C$  be such that  $f' \leq h \leq f''$  and  $I(f'' - f') < \varepsilon$ . We consequently have  $f' \vee 0 \leq h \vee 0 \leq f'' \vee 0$ ,  $(f'' \vee 0) - (f' \vee 0) \leq f'' - f'$ ,  $I(f'' \vee 0) - I(f' \vee 0) \leq I(f'' - f') < \varepsilon$ . Since the functions  $f' \vee 0$  and  $f'' \vee 0$  belong to  $C$ , we deduce that the function  $h^+ = h \vee 0$  belongs to  $R_1(I)$ . Hence  $R_1(I)$  is a pointwise lattice.

If the sequence  $(h_n)_n$  from  $R_1(I)$  decreases to zero, by the above property (12) of the Daniell integral, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(h_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I^*(h_n) = 0$ .

Nevertheless, we can directly prove this property. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose  $f'_n, f''_n \in C$  such that  $f'_n \leq h_n \leq f''_n$  and  $I(f''_n - f'_n) < \varepsilon/2^n$ . Consequently, for any natural integer  $k$  we have

$$\bigwedge_{n=1}^k f'_n \leq h_k \leq \bigwedge_{n=1}^k f''_n, \quad \bigwedge_{n=1}^k f''_n - \bigwedge_{n=1}^k f'_n \leq \sum_{n=1}^k (f''_n - f'_n),$$

$$I\left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^k f''_n - \bigwedge_{n=1}^k f'_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^k I(f''_n - f'_n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since the sequence  $\left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^k f'_n\right)_k$  from  $C$  decreases to zero  $\left(\inf_k h_k = 0\right)$ , we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^k f'_n\right) = 0$ .

On the other hand, the sequence  $\left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^k f''_n\right)_k$  from  $C$  decreases and  $h_k \leq \sum_{n=1}^k f''_n$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,

$$I(h_k) \leq I\left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^k f''_n\right) \leq \varepsilon + I\left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^k f'_n\right), \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(h_k) \leq \varepsilon.$$

The number  $\varepsilon > 0$  being arbitrary, we get  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(h_k) = 0$ .

b) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary and let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $|h_n - h| < \varepsilon$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . We have  $h_{n_0} \pm \varepsilon \in R_1$  and

$$h_{n_0} - \varepsilon \leq h \leq h_{n_0} + \varepsilon, \quad I(h_{n_0} + \varepsilon) - I(h_{n_0} - \varepsilon) = 2\varepsilon I(1_X),$$

i.e.,  $h \in R_1(I)$ . Moreover, from the above considerations we deduce the relations

$$I(|h_n - h|) \leq \varepsilon I(1_X), \quad |I(h_n) - I(h)| \leq I(|h_n - h|) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(|h_n - h|) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(h_n) = I(h)$ .  $\square$

Let us denote by  $R$  the set of subsets of  $X$  defined as  $R = \{A \mid A \subset X, 1_A \in R_1(I)\}$ .

We refer to an element of  $R$  as *Jordan measurable* with respect to  $I$ . Obviously, we have  $R \subset \mathcal{M}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. *The set  $R$  is an algebra of subsets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the fact that  $R_1(I)$  is a pointwise vector lattice using the equations  $1_{A \cup B} = 1_A \vee 1_B$ ,  $1_{X \setminus A} = 1 - 1_A$ ,  $\forall A, B \subset X$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 2.5. *If  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded function, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $f$  is Riemann integrable w.r. to  $I$ .
- 2) There exists an increasing sequence of  $R$ -step functions which converges uniformly to  $f$ .
- 3) There exists a decreasing sequence of  $R$ -step functions which converges uniformly to  $f$ .
- 4) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $R$ -partition

$$\Delta_\varepsilon = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n), \quad A_i \in R, \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = X, \quad A_i \cap A_j = \phi \quad \text{if } i \neq j$$

such that  $S(f, \Delta_\varepsilon) - s(f, \Delta_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ , where  $S(f, \Delta_\varepsilon)$ , respectively  $s(f, \Delta_\varepsilon)$ , is the upper, respectively the lower, Darboux sum associated with  $f$  and  $\Delta_\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* The implications 2)  $\Rightarrow$  4), 3)  $\Rightarrow$  4) are obvious.

4)  $\Rightarrow$  1). For any natural integer  $n$ ,  $n \neq 0$ , we consider a partition  $\Delta_n = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k_n})$  of  $X$ , with  $A_i \in R$  for any  $i \leq k_n$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} M_i \mu(A_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} m_i \mu(A_i) < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \sup_{x \in A_i} f(x) = M_i, \quad \inf_{x \in A_i} f(x) = m_i.$$

Since  $1_{A_i} \in R_1$ , we deduce that the functions  $\varphi, \Psi$  defined as  $\varphi = \sum_i m_i 1_{A_i}$ ,  $\Psi = \sum_i M_i 1_{A_i}$  belong to  $R_1(I)$ , and, moreover, we have

$$\varphi \leq f \leq \Psi, \quad I(\varphi) = \sum_i m_i \mu(A_i), \quad I(\Psi) = \sum_i M_i \mu(A_i), \quad I(\Psi) - I(\varphi) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Now, using Proposition 2.2, we deduce that the function  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

1)  $\Rightarrow$  2) Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f \geq 0$ . Since for any real number  $r$  the set  $[f = r]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ , the set  $D$  of real numbers defined as  $D = \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mu([f = r]) > 0\}$  is at most countable. Let us now show that the set  $[f > r]$  belongs to  $R$  for any  $r \in R$ ,  $r \notin D$ . Indeed, we have

$1_{[f>r]} = \sup_n \varphi_n$ ,  $1_{[f\geq r]} = \inf_n \Psi_n$ , where, for any natural integer  $n$ , the functions  $\varphi_n, \Psi_n$  are defined as  $\varphi_n = 1 \wedge n(f-r)^+$ ,  $\Psi_n = 1 - 1 \wedge n(r-f)^+$ . Obviously,  $\varphi_n \in R_1(I)$ ,  $\Psi_n \in R_1(I)$ , the sequence  $(\varphi_n)_n$  is increasing, the sequence  $(\Psi_n)_n$  is decreasing and the sequence  $(\Psi_n - \varphi_n)_n$  decreases to the function  $1_{[f=r]}$ . Since  $\mu([f=r]) = 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\Psi_n - \varphi_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (\Psi_n - \varphi_n) d\mu = \mu([f=r]) = 0$$

and, therefore, by Proposition 2.2 again, any function  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $\sup_n \varphi_n \leq g \leq \inf_n \Psi_n$ , belongs  $R_1(I)$ . In particular, the functions  $1_{[f>r]}$ ,  $1_{[f\geq r]}$  belong to  $R_1(I)$ . Since  $D$  is at most countable, there exists a real number  $a$  such that  $0 < a \leq 1$  and  $r \cdot a \notin D$  for any rational number  $r$ . For any natural integer  $n \neq 0$  we consider the function  $\varphi_n$  defined as

$$\varphi_n = \frac{a}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n \cdot p - 1} 1_{[f > \frac{k \cdot a}{2^n}]},$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $f(x) \leq pa$  for any  $x \in X$ . Obviously  $\varphi_n$  is an  $R$ -step function, we have  $\varphi_n \leq f \leq \varphi_n + \frac{a}{2^n}$ , and the sequence  $(\varphi_n)_n$  is uniformly increasing to  $f$ . Now, replacing  $f$  by  $-f$ , we deduce that 2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  3).  $\square$

*Remark 2.6.* In the case where  $X = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $C = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous}\}$ , Theorem 2.5 generalizes the famous Lebesgue criterion of Riemann integrability.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to express her thanks to Professor Solomon Marcus for his valuable reference indications.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Boboc, *Analiză matematică*. Ed. Univ. București, 1999.
- [2] Gh. Bucur, *Analiză matematică*. Ed. Univ. București, 2007.
- [3] S. Marcus, *La mesure de Jordan et l'intégrale de Riemann dans un espace mesuré topologique*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **20** (1959), 2-3, 156-163.
- [4] M. Nicolescu, *Analiză matematică II*. Ed. Acad. Române, 1953.

Received 20 January 2009

Technical University of Civil Engineering  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Bd. Lacul Tei nr. 124  
020396 Bucharest, Romania  
bucurileana@yahoo.com